


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/718,985	Applicant(s) TSAUR, LIH-FENG
	Examiner Harry Vartanian	Art Unit 2634
	All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> (1) <u>Harry Vartanian</u> . (3) _____ (2) <u>William J Kolegraff (Req# 41,125)</u> . (4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2004</u> Time: <u>2:00</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Claim 1-4, 11</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>Claim 1-4, 7, 11-14</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>US PATENT 6,370,672 (Column 10, Line 26-38)</i>		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Defining the limitation "recognizing a change in the rate of data transmission when the signal points of consecutively transmitted symbols are not correlated." and its distinctness over US PATENT 6,370,672.</i>		
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)